



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,188	06/21/2007	Eugen Kolosov	2590.0040002/EJH/UWJ	7273

26111 7590 09/18/2009
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

CHEN, SHIN LIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1632

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/18/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/594,188

Applicant(s)

KOLOSOV ET AL.

Examiner

Shin-Lin Chen

Art Unit

1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shin-Lin Chen.(3) Elizabeth Haanes.(2) Shannon Carroll.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: declaration filed in the Eropea application.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thomaon, Dang and Yang.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed new matter issue and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. Applicants show the support the phrase in new matter rejection and the new matter rejection could be withdrawn. Applicants discussed the difference between agitation and stirring and Thomson points out that in single cell suspension the cells die rapidly and Yang reference discloses static single cell suspension. Applicants might submit amendment and arguments to overcome the rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shin-Lin Chen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632